

**DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT OF UNDERSTANDING PURSUANT TO  
*Laffer v. Cooper*, 132 S.Ct 1376 (2012) and  
*Missouri v. Frye*, 132 S.Ct. 1399 (2012).**

I, Elizabeth Prohitt, acknowledge each of the following points:

1. The government has offered me the opportunity to enter a truthful guilty plea to:  
Counts 1-5: Obtaining Controlled Substances by Fraud 21 U.S.C. § 843(a)(3)
2. It is my right to either accept or reject the proposal. It is also my right to change my plea to guilty *without* any agreement with the government.
3. If I were to accept the government's proposal to truthfully plead guilty, there may be terms and conditions more favorable to me than if I were convicted at trial.
4. If I were to enter a truthful plea of guilty, I understand that my Sentencing Guidelines would likely be 0 to 6 months.
5. If I were to proceed to trial and be convicted as charged, I understand that my Sentencing Guidelines would likely be 0 to 6 months.
6. I know that a Guidelines Range is only a starting point in the sentencing process, so a sentence could be within, above, or below the Range in most cases.
7. I have had a full opportunity to talk with my attorney about my decision.
8. My attorney has explained the law and the pertinent facts known to him/her.
9. I know that some kinds of evidence are not required to be disclosed to me until presented at trial, so I probably have not seen and/or heard every bit of the evidence that may be presented against me in trial.
10. I am sure that I have a clear understanding of my situation legally and factually.
11. Having fully considered all the foregoing **IT IS MY DECISION TO REJECT THE OFFER OF A NEGOTIATED GUILTY PLEA** even though it may have terms and conditions that are more favorable to me than if I were to be convicted at trial.

Date: 6/16/20

  
Defendant's signature